(3)

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 667 **OF 2006** Single Bench

PETITIONER
DEFENDENT NO.1

Thukel @ Thukela S/o Kehra Satnami, aged about 74 years, R/o Village- Bhaluchuwa, Tahsil & Police Station – Kawardha, Dist. – Kabirdham C.G.

P.R. No. 644/06
Presented by Rukesh Pandey
dated 21/2/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Ramprasad @ Bauwa S/o Thukel @ Thukela, aged about 35 years, R/o Village- Bhaluchuwa, Tahsil & Police Station – Kawardha, Dist. –

Plaintiff

Kabirdham C.G

2. Dev Kumar S/o Thukel @ Thukela, aged about 37 years. R/o Village- Bhaluchuwa, Tahsil & Police Station – Kawardha,

Defendant no2

Dist. – Kabirdham C.G

COPY
7.12.06
CLERK H.C.A. BILASPUR

3. State of C.G.

Through the Collector, Kawardha Dist-

Defendant no.3

Kawardha C.G.

4. Amrit Bai W/o Thukel @ Thukela, aged about 64 years. R/o Village- Bhaluchuwa, at present R/o Village – Bisanpur, Tahsil & Dist.

Defendant no.4

Kawardha, C.G.

5. Jan Kunwar @ Janki Bai W/o Rajju Satnami, aged about 40 years, R/o Village – Kumhi, Tahsil – Pandariya, Dist- Kawardha. C.G.

Defendant no.5

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS/CERTIORARI ETC. OR DIRECTION/ORDER IN THE LIKE NATURE ETC.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 667/06 **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	12/01/2007 None for the petitioner. Shri N.K. Agrawal, Deputy Advocate General for respondent No.3/State. None for other respondents. Once the case has been called out during the course of the day, but for want of prosecution on behalf of the petitioner, it was passed over. Now, the case has been called out again, but none appears for the petitioner. Therefore, this petition is dismissed for want of appearance of the petitioner. Sd/- V.K. Shrivastava Judge	

raju

(पीछे देखिये)